Gradient Checking is based on the following approach. One iteration of Gradient Descent computes and updates the parameters \thetaby doing  
\theta := \theta - \frac{d}{d\theta}J(\theta).  
To minimize the cost we will need to minimize J(\theta)  
Let g(\theta)be a function that computes the derivative \frac {d}{d\theta}J(\theta). Gradient Checking allows us to numerically evaluate the implementation of the function g(\theta)and verify its correctness.  
We know the derivative of a function is given by  
\frac {d}{d\theta}J(\theta) = lim->0 \frac {J(\theta +\epsilon) - J(\theta -\epsilon)} {2*\epsilon}  
**Note**: The above derivative is based on the 2 sided derivative. The 1-sided derivative  is given by \frac {d}{d\theta}J(\theta) = lim->0 \frac {J(\theta +\epsilon) - J(\theta)} {\epsilon}  
Gradient Checking is based on the 2-sided derivative because the error is of the order O(\epsilon^{2})as opposed O(\epsilon)for the 1-sided derivative.  
Hence Gradient Check uses the 2 sided derivative as follows.  
g(\theta) = lim->0 \frac {J(\theta +\epsilon) - J(\theta -\epsilon)} {2*\epsilon}

In Gradient Check the following is done  
A) Run one normal cycle of your implementation by doing the following  
a) Compute the output activation by running 1 cycle of forward propagation  
b) Compute the cost using the output activation  
c) Compute the gradients using backpropation (grad)

B) Perform gradient check steps as below  
a) Set \theta. Flatten all ‘weights’ and ‘bias’ matrices and vectors to a column vector.  
b) Initialize \theta+by bumping up \thetaby adding \epsilon(\theta + \epsilon)  
c) Perform forward propagation with \theta+  
d) Compute cost with \theta+i.e. J(\theta+)  
e) Initialize  \theta-by bumping down \thetaby subtracting \epsilon(\theta - \epsilon)  
f) Perform forward propagation with \theta-  
g) Compute cost with \theta-i.e.  J(\theta-)  
h) Compute \frac {d} {d\theta} J(\theta)or ‘gradapprox’ as\frac {J(\theta+) - J(\theta-) } {2\epsilon} using the 2 sided derivative.  
i) Compute L2norm or the Euclidean distance between ‘grad’ and ‘gradapprox’. If the  
diference is of the order of 10^{-5}or 10^{-7}the implementation is correct. In the [Deep Learning Specialization](https://www.coursera.org/specializations/deep-learning) Prof Andrew Ng mentions that if the difference is of the order of 10^{-7}then the implementation is correct. A difference of 10^{-5}is also ok. Anything more than that is a cause of worry and you should look at your code more closely. To see more details click [Gradient checking and advanced optimization](http://ufldl.stanford.edu/wiki/index.php/Gradient_checking_and_advanced_optimization)

You can clone/download the code from Github at [DeepLearning-Part8](https://github.com/tvganesh/DeepLearning-Part8)

After spending a better part of 3 days, I now realize how critical Gradient Check is for ensuring the correctness of you implementation. Initially I was getting very high difference and did not know how to understand the results or debug my implementation. After many hours of staring at the results, I  was able to finally arrive at a way, to localize issues in the implementation. In fact, I did catch a small bug in my Python code, which did not exist in the R and Octave implementations. I will demonstrate this below

**1.1a Gradient Check – Sigmoid Activation – Python**

import numpy as np

import matplotlib

exec(open("DLfunctions8.py").read())

exec(open("testcases.py").read())

#Load the data

train\_X, train\_Y, test\_X, test\_Y = load\_dataset()

#Set layer dimensions

layersDimensions = [2,4,1]

parameters = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions)

#Perform forward prop

AL, caches, dropoutMat = forwardPropagationDeep(train\_X, parameters, keep\_prob=1, hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc="sigmoid")

#Compute cost

cost = computeCost(AL, train\_Y, outputActivationFunc="sigmoid")

print("cost=",cost)

#Perform backprop and get gradients

gradients = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, train\_Y, caches, dropoutMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1, hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc="sigmoid")

epsilon = 1e-7

outputActivationFunc="sigmoid"

# Set-up variables

# Flatten parameters to a vector

parameters\_values, \_ = dictionary\_to\_vector(parameters)

#Flatten gradients to a vector

grad = gradients\_to\_vector(parameters,gradients)

num\_parameters = parameters\_values.shape[0]

#Initialize

J\_plus = np.zeros((num\_parameters, 1))

J\_minus = np.zeros((num\_parameters, 1))

gradapprox = np.zeros((num\_parameters, 1))

# Compute gradapprox using 2 sided derivative

for i in range(num\_parameters):

# Compute J\_plus[i].

thetaplus = np.copy(parameters\_values)

thetaplus[i][0] = thetaplus[i][0] + epsilon

AL, caches, dropoutMat = forwardPropagationDeep(train\_X, vector\_to\_dictionary(parameters,thetaplus), keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

J\_plus[i] = computeCost(AL, train\_Y, outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

# Compute J\_minus[i].

thetaminus = np.copy(parameters\_values)

thetaminus[i][0] = thetaminus[i][0] - epsilon

AL, caches, dropoutMat = forwardPropagationDeep(train\_X, vector\_to\_dictionary(parameters,thetaminus), keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

J\_minus[i] = computeCost(AL, train\_Y, outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

# Compute gradapprox[i]

gradapprox[i] = (J\_plus[i] - J\_minus[i])/(2\*epsilon)

# Compare gradapprox to backward propagation gradients by computing difference.

numerator = np.linalg.norm(grad-gradapprox)

denominator = np.linalg.norm(grad) + np.linalg.norm(gradapprox)

difference = numerator/denominator

#Check the difference

if difference > 1e-5:

print ("\033[93m" + "There is a mistake in the backward propagation! difference = " + str(difference) + "\033[0m")

else:

print ("\033[92m" + "Your backward propagation works perfectly fine! difference = " + str(difference) + "\033[0m")

print(difference)

print("\n")

# The technique below can be used to identify

# which of the parameters are in error

# Covert grad to dictionary

m=vector\_to\_dictionary2(parameters,grad)

print("Gradients from backprop")

print(m)

print("\n")

# Convert gradapprox to dictionary

n=vector\_to\_dictionary2(parameters,gradapprox)

print("Gradapprox from gradient check")

print(n)

## (300, 2)

## (300,)

## cost= 0.6931455556341791

## [92mYour backward propagation works perfectly fine! difference = 1.1604150683743381e-06[0m

## 1.1604150683743381e-06

##

##

## Gradients from backprop

## {'dW1': array([[-6.19439955e-06, -2.06438046e-06],

## [-1.50165447e-05, 7.50401672e-05],

## [ 1.33435433e-04, 1.74112143e-04],

## [-3.40909024e-05, -1.38363681e-04]]), 'db1': array([[ 7.31333221e-07],

## [ 7.98425950e-06],

## [ 8.15002817e-08],

## [-5.69821155e-08]]), 'dW2': array([[2.73416304e-04, 2.96061451e-04, 7.51837363e-05, 1.01257729e-04]]), 'db2': array([[-7.22232235e-06]])}

##

##

## Gradapprox from gradient check

## {'dW1': array([[-6.19448937e-06, -2.06501483e-06],

## [-1.50168766e-05, 7.50399742e-05],

## [ 1.33435485e-04, 1.74112391e-04],

## [-3.40910633e-05, -1.38363765e-04]]), 'db1': array([[ 7.31081862e-07],

## [ 7.98472399e-06],

## [ 8.16013923e-08],

## [-5.71764858e-08]]), 'dW2': array([[2.73416290e-04, 2.96061509e-04, 7.51831930e-05, 1.01257891e-04]]), 'db2': array([[-7.22255589e-06]])}

**1.1b Gradient Check – Softmax Activation – Python (Error!!)**

In the code below I show, how I managed to spot a bug in your implementation

import numpy as np

exec(open("DLfunctions8.py").read())

N = 100 # number of points per class

D = 2 # dimensionality

K = 3 # number of classes

X = np.zeros((N\*K,D)) # data matrix (each row = single example)

y = np.zeros(N\*K, dtype='uint8') # class labels

for j in range(K):

ix = range(N\*j,N\*(j+1))

r = np.linspace(0.0,1,N) # radius

t = np.linspace(j\*4,(j+1)\*4,N) + np.random.randn(N)\*0.2 # theta

X[ix] = np.c\_[r\*np.sin(t), r\*np.cos(t)]

y[ix] = j

# Plot the data

#plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=y, s=40, cmap=plt.cm.Spectral)

layersDimensions = [2,3,3]

y1=y.reshape(-1,1).T

train\_X=X.T

train\_Y=y1

parameters = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions)

#Compute forward prop

AL, caches, dropoutMat = forwardPropagationDeep(train\_X, parameters, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc="softmax")

#Compute cost

cost = computeCost(AL, train\_Y, outputActivationFunc="softmax")

print("cost=",cost)

#Compute gradients from backprop

gradients = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, train\_Y, caches, dropoutMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc="softmax")

# Note the transpose of the gradients for Softmax has to be taken

L= len(parameters)//2

print(L)

gradients['dW'+str(L)]=gradients['dW'+str(L)].T

gradients['db'+str(L)]=gradients['db'+str(L)].T

# Perform gradient check

gradient\_check\_n(parameters, gradients, train\_X, train\_Y, epsilon = 1e-7,outputActivationFunc="softmax")

cost= 1.0986187818144022

2

There is a mistake in the backward propagation! difference = 0.7100295155692544

0.7100295155692544

Gradients from backprop

{'dW1': array([[ 0.00050125, 0.00045194],

[ 0.00096392, 0.00039641],

[-0.00014276, -0.00045639]]), 'db1': array([[ 0.00070082],

[-0.00224399],

[ 0.00052305]]), 'dW2': array([[-8.40953794e-05, -9.52657769e-04, -1.10269379e-04],

[-7.45469382e-04, 9.49795606e-04, 2.29045434e-04],

[ 8.29564761e-04, 2.86216305e-06, -1.18776055e-04]]),

'db2': array([[**-0.00253808**],

[**-0.00505508**],

[ **0.00759315**]])}

Gradapprox from gradient check

{'dW1': array([[ 0.00050125, 0.00045194],

[ 0.00096392, 0.00039641],

[-0.00014276, -0.00045639]]), 'db1': array([[ 0.00070082],

[-0.00224399],

[ 0.00052305]]), 'dW2': array([[-8.40960634e-05, -9.52657953e-04, -1.10268461e-04],

[-7.45469242e-04, 9.49796908e-04, 2.29045671e-04],

[ 8.29565305e-04, 2.86104473e-06, -1.18776100e-04]]),

'db2': array([[-8.46211989e-06],

[-1.68487446e-05],

[ 2.53108645e-05]])}

Gradient Check gives a high value of the difference of 0.7100295. Inspecting the Gradients and Gradapprox we can see there is a very big discrepancy in db2. After I went over my code I discovered that I my computation in the function layerActivationBackward for Softmax was

# Erroneous code

if activationFunc == 'softmax':

dW = 1/numtraining \* np.dot(A\_prev,dZ)

db = np.sum(dZ, axis=0, keepdims=True)

dA\_prev = np.dot(dZ,W)

instead of

# Fixed code

if activationFunc == 'softmax':

dW = 1/numtraining \* np.dot(A\_prev,dZ)

db = **1/numtraining** \* np.sum(dZ, axis=0, keepdims=True)

dA\_prev = np.dot(dZ,W)

After fixing this error when I ran Gradient Check I get

**1.1c Gradient Check – Softmax Activation – Python (Corrected!!)**

import numpy as np

exec(open("DLfunctions8.py").read())

N = 100 # number of points per class

D = 2 # dimensionality

K = 3 # number of classes

X = np.zeros((N\*K,D)) # data matrix (each row = single example)

y = np.zeros(N\*K, dtype='uint8') # class labels

for j in range(K):

ix = range(N\*j,N\*(j+1))

r = np.linspace(0.0,1,N) # radius

t = np.linspace(j\*4,(j+1)\*4,N) + np.random.randn(N)\*0.2 # theta

X[ix] = np.c\_[r\*np.sin(t), r\*np.cos(t)]

y[ix] = j

# Plot the data

#plt.scatter(X[:, 0], X[:, 1], c=y, s=40, cmap=plt.cm.Spectral)

layersDimensions = [2,3,3]

y1=y.reshape(-1,1).T

train\_X=X.T

train\_Y=y1

#Set layer dimensions

parameters = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions)

#Perform forward prop

AL, caches, dropoutMat = forwardPropagationDeep(train\_X, parameters, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc="softmax")

#Compute cost

cost = computeCost(AL, train\_Y, outputActivationFunc="softmax")

print("cost=",cost)

#Compute gradients from backprop

gradients = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, train\_Y, caches, dropoutMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc="softmax")

# Note the transpose of the gradients for Softmax has to be taken

L= len(parameters)//2

print(L)

gradients['dW'+str(L)]=gradients['dW'+str(L)].T

gradients['db'+str(L)]=gradients['db'+str(L)].T

#Perform gradient check

gradient\_check\_n(parameters, gradients, train\_X, train\_Y, epsilon = 1e-7,outputActivationFunc="softmax")

## cost= 1.0986193170234435

## 2

## [92mYour backward propagation works perfectly fine! difference = 5.268804859613151e-07[0m

## 5.268804859613151e-07

##

##

## Gradients from backprop

## {'dW1': array([[ 0.00053206, 0.00038987],

## [ 0.00093941, 0.00038077],

## [-0.00012177, -0.0004692 ]]), 'db1': array([[ 0.00072662],

## [-0.00210198],

## [ 0.00046741]]), 'dW2': array([[-7.83441270e-05, -9.70179498e-04, -1.08715815e-04],

## [-7.70175008e-04, 9.54478237e-04, 2.27690198e-04],

## [ 8.48519135e-04, 1.57012608e-05, -1.18974383e-04]]), 'db2': array([[-8.52190476e-06],

## [-1.69954294e-05],

## [ 2.55173342e-05]])}

##

##

## Gradapprox from gradient check

## {'dW1': array([[ 0.00053206, 0.00038987],

## [ 0.00093941, 0.00038077],

## [-0.00012177, -0.0004692 ]]), 'db1': array([[ 0.00072662],

## [-0.00210198],

## [ 0.00046741]]), 'dW2': array([[-7.83439980e-05, -9.70180603e-04, -1.08716369e-04],

## [-7.70173925e-04, 9.54478718e-04, 2.27690089e-04],

## [ 8.48520143e-04, 1.57018842e-05, -1.18973720e-04]]), 'db2': array([[-8.52096171e-06],

## [-1.69964043e-05],

## [ 2.55162558e-05]])}

**1.2a Gradient Check – Sigmoid Activation – R**

source("DLfunctions8.R")

z <- as.matrix(read.csv("circles.csv",header=FALSE))

x <- z[,1:2]

y <- z[,3]

X <- t(x)

Y <- t(y)

#Set layer dimensions

layersDimensions = c(2,5,1)

parameters = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions)

#Perform forward prop

retvals = forwardPropagationDeep(X, parameters,keep\_prob=1, hiddenActivationFunc="relu",

outputActivationFunc="sigmoid")

AL <- retvals[['AL']]

caches <- retvals[['caches']]

dropoutMat <- retvals[['dropoutMat']]

#Compute cost

cost <- computeCost(AL, Y,outputActivationFunc="sigmoid",

numClasses=layersDimensions[length(layersDimensions)])

print(cost)

## [1] 0.6931447

# Backward propagation.

gradients = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, Y, caches, dropoutMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1, hiddenActivationFunc="relu",

outputActivationFunc="sigmoid",numClasses=layersDimensions[length(layersDimensions)])

epsilon = 1e-07

outputActivationFunc="sigmoid"

#Convert parameter list to vector

parameters\_values = list\_to\_vector(parameters)

#Convert gradient list to vector

grad = gradients\_to\_vector(parameters,gradients)

num\_parameters = dim(parameters\_values)[1]

#Initialize

J\_plus = matrix(rep(0,num\_parameters),

nrow=num\_parameters,ncol=1)

J\_minus = matrix(rep(0,num\_parameters),

nrow=num\_parameters,ncol=1)

gradapprox = matrix(rep(0,num\_parameters),

nrow=num\_parameters,ncol=1)

# Compute gradapprox

for(i in 1:num\_parameters){

# Compute J\_plus[i].

thetaplus = parameters\_values

thetaplus[i][1] = thetaplus[i][1] + epsilon

retvals = forwardPropagationDeep(X, vector\_to\_list(parameters,thetaplus), keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

AL <- retvals[['AL']]

J\_plus[i] = computeCost(AL, Y, outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

# Compute J\_minus[i].

thetaminus = parameters\_values

thetaminus[i][1] = thetaminus[i][1] - epsilon

retvals = forwardPropagationDeep(X, vector\_to\_list(parameters,thetaminus), keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

AL <- retvals[['AL']]

J\_minus[i] = computeCost(AL, Y, outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc)

# Compute gradapprox[i]

gradapprox[i] = (J\_plus[i] - J\_minus[i])/(2\*epsilon)

}

# Compare gradapprox to backward propagation gradients by computing difference.

#Compute L2Norm

numerator = L2NormVec(grad-gradapprox)

denominator = L2NormVec(grad) + L2NormVec(gradapprox)

difference = numerator/denominator

if(difference > 1e-5){

cat("There is a mistake, the difference is too high",difference)

} else{

cat("The implementations works perfectly", difference)

}

## The implementations works perfectly 1.279911e-06

# This can be used to check

print("Gradients from backprop")

## [1] "Gradients from backprop"

vector\_to\_list2(parameters,grad)

## $dW1

## [,1] [,2]

## [1,] -7.641588e-05 -3.427989e-07

## [2,] -9.049683e-06 6.906304e-05

## [3,] 3.401039e-06 -1.503914e-04

## [4,] 1.535226e-04 -1.686402e-04

## [5,] -6.029292e-05 -2.715648e-04

##

## $db1

## [,1]

## [1,] 6.930318e-06

## [2,] -3.283117e-05

## [3,] 1.310647e-05

## [4,] -3.454308e-05

## [5,] -2.331729e-08

##

## $dW2

## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]

## [1,] 0.0001612356 0.0001113475 0.0002435824 0.000362149 2.874116e-05

##

## $db2

## [,1]

## [1,] -1.16364e-05

print("Grad approx from gradient check")

## [1] "Grad approx from gradient check"

vector\_to\_list2(parameters,gradapprox)

## $dW1

## [,1] [,2]

## [1,] -7.641554e-05 -3.430589e-07

## [2,] -9.049428e-06 6.906253e-05

## [3,] 3.401168e-06 -1.503919e-04

## [4,] 1.535228e-04 -1.686401e-04

## [5,] -6.029288e-05 -2.715650e-04

##

## $db1

## [,1]

## [1,] 6.930012e-06

## [2,] -3.283096e-05

## [3,] 1.310618e-05

## [4,] -3.454237e-05

## [5,] -2.275957e-08

##

## $dW2

## [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4] [,5]

## [1,] 0.0001612355 0.0001113476 0.0002435829 0.0003621486 2.87409e-05

##

## $db2

## [,1]

## [1,] -1.16368e-05

**1.2b Gradient Check – Softmax Activation – R**

source("DLfunctions8.R")

Z <- as.matrix(read.csv("spiral.csv",header=FALSE))

# Setup the data

X <- Z[,1:2]

y <- Z[,3]

X <- t(X)

Y <- t(y)

layersDimensions = c(2, 3, 3)

parameters = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions)

#Perform forward prop

retvals = forwardPropagationDeep(X, parameters,keep\_prob=1, hiddenActivationFunc="relu",

outputActivationFunc="softmax")

AL <- retvals[['AL']]

caches <- retvals[['caches']]

dropoutMat <- retvals[['dropoutMat']]

#Compute cost

cost <- computeCost(AL, Y,outputActivationFunc="softmax",

numClasses=layersDimensions[length(layersDimensions)])

print(cost)

## [1] 1.098618

# Backward propagation.

gradients = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, Y, caches, dropoutMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1, hiddenActivationFunc="relu",

outputActivationFunc="softmax",numClasses=layersDimensions[length(layersDimensions)])

# Need to take transpose of the last layer for Softmax

L=length(parameters)/2

gradients[[paste('dW',L,sep="")]]=t(gradients[[paste('dW',L,sep="")]])

gradients[[paste('db',L,sep="")]]=t(gradients[[paste('db',L,sep="")]])

#Perform gradient check

gradient\_check\_n(parameters, gradients, X, Y,

epsilon = 1e-7,outputActivationFunc="softmax")

## The implementations works perfectly 3.903011e-07[1] "Gradients from backprop"

## $dW1

## [,1] [,2]

## [1,] 0.0007962367 -0.0001907606

## [2,] 0.0004444254 0.0010354412

## [3,] 0.0003078611 0.0007591255

##

## $db1

## [,1]

## [1,] -0.0017305136

## [2,] 0.0005393734

## [3,] 0.0012484550

##

## $dW2

## [,1] [,2] [,3]

## [1,] -3.515627e-04 7.487283e-04 -3.971656e-04

## [2,] -6.381521e-05 -1.257328e-06 6.507254e-05

## [3,] -1.719479e-04 -4.857264e-04 6.576743e-04

##

## $db2

## [,1]

## [1,] -5.536383e-06

## [2,] -1.824656e-05

## [3,] 2.378295e-05

##

## [1] "Grad approx from gradient check"

## $dW1

## [,1] [,2]

## [1,] 0.0007962364 -0.0001907607

## [2,] 0.0004444256 0.0010354406

## [3,] 0.0003078615 0.0007591250

##

## $db1

## [,1]

## [1,] -0.0017305135

## [2,] 0.0005393741

## [3,] 0.0012484547

##

## $dW2

## [,1] [,2] [,3]

## [1,] -3.515632e-04 7.487277e-04 -3.971656e-04

## [2,] -6.381451e-05 -1.257883e-06 6.507239e-05

## [3,] -1.719469e-04 -4.857270e-04 6.576739e-04

##

## $db2

## [,1]

## [1,] -5.536682e-06

## [2,] -1.824652e-05

## [3,] 2.378209e-05

**1.3a Gradient Check – Sigmoid Activation – Octave**

source("DL8functions.m")

################## Circles

data=csvread("circles.csv");

X=data(:,1:2);

Y=data(:,3);

#Set layer dimensions

layersDimensions = [2 5 1]; #tanh=-0.5(ok), #relu=0.1 best!

[weights biases] = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions);

#Perform forward prop

[AL forward\_caches activation\_caches droputMat] = forwardPropagationDeep(X', weights, biases,keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu", outputActivationFunc="sigmoid");

#Compute cost

cost = computeCost(AL, Y',outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc,numClasses=layersDimensions(size(layersDimensions)(2)));

disp(cost);

#Compute gradients from cost

[gradsDA gradsDW gradsDB] = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, Y', activation\_caches,forward\_caches, droputMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu", outputActivationFunc="sigmoid",

numClasses=layersDimensions(size(layersDimensions)(2)));

epsilon = 1e-07;

outputActivationFunc="sigmoid";

# Convert paramter cell array to vector

parameters\_values = cellArray\_to\_vector(weights, biases);

#Convert gradient cell array to vector

grad = gradients\_to\_vector(gradsDW,gradsDB);

num\_parameters = size(parameters\_values)(1);

#Initialize

J\_plus = zeros(num\_parameters, 1);

J\_minus = zeros(num\_parameters, 1);

gradapprox = zeros(num\_parameters, 1);

# Compute gradapprox

for i = 1:num\_parameters

# Compute J\_plus[i].

thetaplus = parameters\_values;

thetaplus(i,1) = thetaplus(i,1) + epsilon;

[weights1 biases1] =vector\_to\_cellArray(weights, biases,thetaplus);

[AL forward\_caches activation\_caches droputMat] = forwardPropagationDeep(X', weights1, biases1, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc);

J\_plus(i) = computeCost(AL, Y', outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc);

# Compute J\_minus[i].

thetaminus = parameters\_values;

thetaminus(i,1) = thetaminus(i,1) - epsilon ;

[weights1 biases1] = vector\_to\_cellArray(weights, biases,thetaminus);

[AL forward\_caches activation\_caches droputMat] = forwardPropagationDeep(X',weights1, biases1, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu",outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc);

J\_minus(i) = computeCost(AL, Y', outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc);

# Compute gradapprox[i]

gradapprox(i) = (J\_plus(i) - J\_minus(i))/(2\*epsilon);

endfor

#Compute L2Norm

numerator = L2NormVec(grad-gradapprox);

denominator = L2NormVec(grad) + L2NormVec(gradapprox);

difference = numerator/denominator;

disp(difference);

#Check difference

if difference > 1e-04

printf("There is a mistake in the implementation ");

disp(difference);

else

printf("The implementation works perfectly");

disp(difference);

endif

[weights1 biases1] = vector\_to\_cellArray(weights, biases,grad);

printf("Gradients from back propagation");

disp(weights1);

disp(biases1);

[weights2 biases2] = vector\_to\_cellArray(weights, biases,gradapprox);

printf("Gradients from gradient check");

disp(weights2);

disp(biases2);

0.69315

1.4893e-005

The implementation works perfectly 1.4893e-005

Gradients from back propagation

{

[1,1] =

5.0349e-005 2.1323e-005

8.8632e-007 1.8231e-006

9.3784e-005 1.0057e-004

1.0875e-004 -1.9529e-007

5.4502e-005 3.2721e-005

[1,2] =

1.0567e-005 6.0615e-005 4.6004e-005 1.3977e-004 1.0405e-004

}

{

[1,1] =

-1.8716e-005

1.1309e-009

4.7686e-005

1.2051e-005

-1.4612e-005

[1,2] = 9.5808e-006

}

Gradients from gradient check

{

[1,1] =

5.0348e-005 2.1320e-005

8.8485e-007 1.8219e-006

9.3784e-005 1.0057e-004

1.0875e-004 -1.9762e-007

5.4502e-005 3.2723e-005

[1,2] =

[1,2] =

1.0565e-005 6.0614e-005 4.6007e-005 1.3977e-004 1.0405e-004

}

{

[1,1] =

-1.8713e-005

1.1102e-009

4.7687e-005

1.2048e-005

-1.4609e-005

[1,2] = 9.5790e-006

}

**1.3b Gradient Check – Softmax Activation – Octave**

source("DL8functions.m")

data=csvread("spiral.csv");

# Setup the data

X=data(:,1:2);

Y=data(:,3);

# Set the layer dimensions

layersDimensions = [2 3 3];

[weights biases] = initializeDeepModel(layersDimensions);

# Run forward prop

[AL forward\_caches activation\_caches droputMat] = forwardPropagationDeep(X', weights, biases,keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu", outputActivationFunc="softmax");

# Compute cost

cost = computeCost(AL, Y',outputActivationFunc=outputActivationFunc,numClasses=layersDimensions(size(layersDimensions)(2)));

disp(cost);

# Perform backward prop

[gradsDA gradsDW gradsDB] = backwardPropagationDeep(AL, Y', activation\_caches,forward\_caches, droputMat, lambd=0, keep\_prob=1,

hiddenActivationFunc="relu", outputActivationFunc="softmax",

numClasses=layersDimensions(size(layersDimensions)(2)));

#Take transpose of last layer for Softmax

L=size(weights)(2);

gradsDW{L}= gradsDW{L}';

gradsDB{L}= gradsDB{L}';

#Perform gradient check

difference= gradient\_check\_n(weights, biases, gradsDW,gradsDB, X, Y, epsilon = 1e-7,

outputActivationFunc="softmax",numClasses=layersDimensions(size(layersDimensions)(2)));

1.0986

The implementation works perfectly 2.0021e-005

Gradients from back propagation

{

[1,1] =

-7.1590e-005 4.1375e-005

-1.9494e-004 -5.2014e-005

-1.4554e-004 5.1699e-005

[1,2] =

3.3129e-004 1.9806e-004 -1.5662e-005

-4.9692e-004 -3.7756e-004 -8.2318e-005

1.6562e-004 1.7950e-004 9.7980e-005

}

{

[1,1] =

-3.0856e-005

-3.3321e-004

-3.8197e-004

[1,2] =

1.2046e-006

2.9259e-007

-1.4972e-006

}

Gradients from gradient check

{

[1,1] =

-7.1586e-005 4.1377e-005

-1.9494e-004 -5.2013e-005

-1.4554e-004 5.1695e-005

3.3129e-004 1.9806e-004 -1.5664e-005

-4.9692e-004 -3.7756e-004 -8.2316e-005

1.6562e-004 1.7950e-004 9.7979e-005

}

{

[1,1] =

-3.0852e-005

-3.3321e-004

-3.8197e-004

[1,2] =

1.1902e-006

2.8200e-007

-1.4644e-006

}

**2.1 Tip for tuning hyperparameters**

Deep Learning Networks come with a large number of hyper parameters which require tuning. The hyper parameters are

1. \alpha-learning rate  
2. Number of layers  
3. Number of hidden units  
4. Number of iterations  
5. Momentum – \beta– 0.9  
6. RMSProp – \beta_{1}– 0.9  
7. Adam – \beta_{1},\beta_{2} and \epsilon  
8. learning rate decay  
9. mini batch size  
10. Initialization method – He, Xavier  
11. Regularization

– Among the above the most critical is learning rate decay. Rather than just trying out random values, it may help to try out values on a logarithmic scale. So we could try out  
values -0.01,0.1,1.0,10 etc. If we find that the cost is between 0.01 and 0.1 we could use a technique similar to binary search, so we can try 0.01, 0.05. If we need to be bigger than 0.01 and 0.05 we could try 0.25  and then keep halving the distance etc.  
– The performance of Momentum and RMSProp are very good and work well with values 0.9. Even with this, it is better to try out values of 1-\beta in the logarithmic range. So 1-\beta could 0.001,0.01,0.1 and hence \betawould be 0.999,0.99 or 0.9  
– Increasing the number of hidden units or number of hidden layers need to be done gradually. I have noticed that increasing number of hidden layers heavily does not improve performance and sometimes degrades it.  
– Sometimes, I tend to increase the number of iterations if I think I see a steady decrease in the cost for a certain learning rate  
– It may also help to add learning rate decay if you see there is an oscillation while it decreases.  
– Xavier and He initializations also help in a fast convergence and are worth trying out.

**3.1 Final thoughts**

As I come to a close in this Deep Learning Series from first principles in Python, R and Octave, I must admit that I learnt a lot in the process.

\* Building a L-layer, vectorized Deep Learning Network in Python, R and Octave was extremely challenging but very rewarding  
\* One benefit of building vectorized versions in Python, R and Octave was that I was looking at each function that I was implementing thrice, and hence I was able to fix any bugs in any of the languages  
\* In addition since I built the generic L-Layer DL network with all the bells and whistles, layer by layer I further had an opportunity to look at all the functions in each successive post.  
\* Each language has its advantages and disadvantages. From the performance perspective I think Python is the best, followed by Octave and then R  
\* Interesting, I noticed that even if small bugs creep into your implementation, the DL network does learn and does generate a valid set of weights and biases, however this may not be an optimum solution. In one case of an inadvertent bug, I was not updating the weights in the final layer of the DL network. Yet, using all the other layers, the DL network was able to come with a reasonable solution (maybe like random dropout, remaining units can still learn the data!)  
\* Having said that, the Gradient Check method discussed and implemented in this post can be very useful in ironing out bugs.  
Feel free to clone/download the code from Github at [DeepLearning-Part8](https://github.com/tvganesh/DeepLearning-Part8)

**Conclusion**

These last couple of months when I was writing the posts and the also churning up the code in Python, R and Octave were  very hectic. There have been times when I found that implementations of some function to be extremely demanding and I almost felt like giving up. Other times, I have spent quite some time on an intractable DL network which would not respond to changes in hyper-parameters. All in all, it was a great learning experience. I would suggest that you start from my first post Deep Learning from first principles in Python, R and Octave-Part 1 and work your way up. Feel free to take the code apart and try out things. That is the only way you will learn.